Math 501: Differential Geometry Homework 7

Lawrence Tyler Rush <me@tylerlogic.com>

Taken off the homework.

 $\mathbf{2}$

3 do Carmo pg 212 problem 11

Let X be a parametrization of a surface with normal N. Define Y to be

$$Y(u, v) = X(u, v) + aN(u, v)$$
(3.1)

for some positive a.

(a)

We know the following to hold

$$N_u = a_{11}X_u + a_{21}X_v$$
$$N_v = a_{12}X_u + a_{22}X_v$$

where (a_{ij}) is the matrix representation of the differential of N. Equation 3.1 yields

$$Y_u = X_u + aN_u$$
$$Y_v = X_v + aN_v$$

and thus combining the two sets of equations above we are left with

$$Y_u = X_u + a(a_{11}X_u + a_{21}X_v) = (1 + aa_{11})X_u + aa_{21}X_v$$

$$Y_v = X_v + a(a_{12}X_u + a_{22}X_v) = aa_{12}X_u + (1 + aa_{22})X_v$$

With this, we can take the cross product of Y_u and Y_v revealing that

$$\begin{aligned} Y_u \wedge Y_v &= ((1+aa_{11})X_u + aa_{21}X_v) \wedge (aa_{12}X_u + (1+aa_{22})X_v) \\ &= (1+aa_{11})aa_{12}X_u \wedge X_u + aa_{21}aa_{12}X_v \wedge X_u + (1+aa_{11})(1+aa_{22})X_u \wedge X_v + aa_{21}(1+aa_{22})X_v \wedge X_v \\ &= aa_{21}aa_{12}X_v \wedge X_u + (1+aa_{11})(1+aa_{22})X_u \wedge X_v \\ &= -aa_{21}aa_{12}X_u \wedge X_v + (1+aa_{11})(1+aa_{22})X_u \wedge X_v \\ &= -aa_{21}aa_{12}X_u \wedge X_v + (1+aa_{11}+aa_{22}+aa_{11}aa_{22})X_u \wedge X_v \\ &= (1+a(a_{11}+a_{22})+a^2(a_{11}a_{22}-a_{21}a_{12}))X_u \wedge X_v \end{aligned}$$

Now since $K = \det([dN])$ and $H = -1/2 \operatorname{tr}([dN])$ for Gaussian and mean curvatures of X, then

$$a_{11} + a_{22} = -2H$$

and

$$a_{11}a_{22} - a_{21}a_{12} = K$$

resulting in

$$Y_u \wedge Y_v = (1 - 2Ha + Ka^2)X_u \wedge X_v$$

Let F be the homeomorphism from S to the parallel surface defined by F(p) = p + aN(p). Thus we have

$$F_u = X_u + aN_u = X_u + a(a_{11}X_u + a_{21}X_v) = (1 + aa_{11})X_u + aa_{21}X_v$$

$$F_v = X_v + aN_v = X_v + a(a_{12}X_u + a_{22}X_v) = aa_{12}X_u + (1 + aa_{22})X_v$$

indicating that

$$[dF_p]_{\{X_u, X_v\}} = \left(dF_p(X_u) \ dF_p(X_v)\right) = \left(F_u \ F_v\right) = \left([F_u]_X \ [F_v]_X\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc}1 + aa_{11} & aa_{12}\\aa_{21} & 1 + aa_{22}\end{array}\right)$$

which results in

 $\det\left(dF_p\right) = 1 + aa_{11} + aa_{22} + aa_{11}aa_{22} - aa_{12}aa_{21} = 1 + a(a_{11} + a_{22}) + a^2(a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21}) = 1 - 2Ha + Ka^2 \quad (3.2)$

Now because of part (a), we know that the normal field for the parallel surface, call it M, at F(p) is the same as the normal field for S at p, i.e.

$$N(p) = M(F(p)) \tag{3.3}$$

We will make use of this to determine the Gaussian and mean curvatures.

Gaussian Curvature Using the chain rule with Equation 3.3 gives us that

$$dN_p = dM_{F(p)}dF_p$$

$$\det(dN_p) = \det(dM_{F(p)}dF_p)$$

$$\det(dN_p) = \det(dM_{F(p)})\det(dF_p)$$

which leads to

$$\det\left(dM_{F(p)}\right) = \frac{\det\left(dN_p\right)}{\det\left(dF_p\right)} = \frac{K}{\det\left(dF_p\right)}$$
(3.4)

The combination of Equation 3.2 and Equation 3.4 leads to the parallel surface having a Gaussian curvature, \overline{K} , of

$$\overline{K} = \det(dM_{F(p)}) = \frac{K}{1 - 2Ha + Ka^2}$$

Mean Curvature Again using the chain rule with Equation 3.3 and employing Lemma A.1 and Equation 3.4 we find that

$$dN_{p} = dM_{F(p)}dF_{p} dN_{p}^{-1} = dF_{p}^{-1}dM_{F(p)}^{-1} dF_{p}dN_{p}^{-1} = dM_{F(p)}^{-1} tr(dM_{F(p)}^{-1}) = tr(dF_{p}dN_{p}^{-1}) \frac{tr(dM_{F(p)})}{\det(dM_{F(p)})} = tr([dF_{p}]_{\{X_{u},X_{v}\}}[dN_{p}]_{\{X_{u},X_{v}\}}^{-1}) tr(dM_{F(p)}) = det(dM_{F(p)}) tr\left(\left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1+aa_{11} & aa_{12} \\ aa_{21} & 1+aa_{22} \end{array}\right) \frac{1}{\det(dN_{p})} \left(\begin{array}{ccc} a_{22} & -a_{12} \\ -a_{21} & a_{11} \end{array}\right)\right) tr(dM_{F(p)}) = \frac{det(dN_{p})}{\det(dF_{p})} \frac{1}{\det(dN_{p})} tr\left(\left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1+aa_{11} & aa_{12} \\ aa_{21} & 1+aa_{22} \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} a_{22} & -a_{12} \\ -a_{21} & a_{11} \end{array}\right)\right) \\ tr(dM_{F(p)}) = \frac{1}{1-2Ha+Ka^{2}}(a_{22}+aa_{11}a_{22}-aa_{12}a_{21}-aa_{12}a_{21}+a_{11}+aa_{11}a_{22}) \\ tr(dM_{F(p)}) = \frac{1}{1-2Ha+Ka^{2}}((a_{11}+a_{22})+2a(a_{11}a_{22}-a_{12}a_{21})) \\ tr(dM_{F(p)}) = \frac{1}{1-2Ha+Ka^{2}}(-2H+2aK) \\ tr(dM_{F(p)}) = \frac{-2(H-aK)}{1-2Ha+Ka^{2}}$$

Hence the mean curvature, \overline{H} , is

$$\overline{H} = -\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(dM_{F(p)}) = \frac{H - aK}{1 - 2Ha + Ka^2}$$

(c)

4 do Carmo pg 229 problem 9

Let S_1 and S_2 be regular surfaces with a conformal maps $\varphi: S_1 \to S_2$ and $\psi: S_2 \to S_3$.

(a) Inverses of isometries are isometries

The proof in Problem section 8 part (a) holds for this when λ is the constant function of 1.

(b) Composition of isometries is an isometry

The proof in Problem section 8 part (b) holds for this when λ_{φ} and λ_{ψ} are both the constant functions of 1.

5 do Carmo pg 229 problem 10

Let $\varphi : S \to S$ be a rotation about the axis of a surface of revolution, S. Because it is simply a rotation, φ is the restriction of some linear map of rotation, $R : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$, to S. Hence for $v \in S$, $\varphi(p) = Ap$ for some matrix



Figure 1: A planar surface with two points for no curve between the two has a length equal to the intrinsic distance.

of rotation A. Note that rotational matrices such as A are orthogonal. Thus we have the following for $p \in S$ and $v \in T_p S$ with some curve α such that $\alpha(0) = p$ and $\alpha'(0) = v$

$$d\varphi_p(v) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \varphi(\alpha(t)) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} A\alpha(t)$$

but because A is not dependent on t

$$\left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0}A\alpha(t) = A\alpha'(t)|_{t=0} = A\alpha'(0) = Av$$

resulting in $d\varphi_p(v) = Av$. Thus since A is orthogonal then for any $v, w \in T_pS$

$$\langle d\varphi_p(v), d\varphi_p(w) \rangle = \langle Av, Aw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$$

thereby giving us that φ is an isometry.

6

(a)

The drawing in Figure 1 has two points p and q in a *planar* surface for which there is no curve between them with length equal to the intrinsic distance between the two points. A curve that would potentially have a length of the intrinsic distance would need to go through the hole in the middle of the surface, but it obviously cannot while remaining a curve of the surface.

(b)

From our first homework assignment, we know that for any curve α in S with $\alpha(a) = p$ and $\alpha(b) = q$, $L(\alpha)_a^b \ge |p-q|$. Thus because d(p,q) is the infimum a set of the lengths (from a to b) of curves in S which pass through p and q at a and b, respectively, then $d(p,q) \ge |p-q|$. (c)

(a) Coefficients of the first fundamental form.

$X(\phi, \theta)$	=	$(\cos\theta\cos\phi,\cos\theta\sin\phi,\sin\theta)$
X_{ϕ}	=	$(-\cos\theta\sin\phi,\cos\theta\cos\phi,0)$
X_{θ}	=	$(-\sin\theta\cos\phi, -\sin\theta\sin\phi, \cos\theta)$
E	=	$\langle X_{\phi}, X_{\phi} \rangle = \cos^2 \theta \sin^2 \phi + \cos^2 \theta \cos^2 \phi = \cos^2 \theta$
F	=	$\langle X_{\phi}, X_{\theta} \rangle = \cos \theta \sin \phi \sin \theta \cos \phi - \cos \theta \cos \phi \sin \theta \sin \phi = 0$
G	=	$\langle X_{\theta}, X_{\theta} \rangle = \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \phi + \sin^2 \theta \sin^2 \phi + \cos^2 \theta = \sin^2 \theta + \cos^2 \theta = 1$

(b) Relation of M, \tilde{M} , X, and Y

Since \tilde{M} is the map from the domain of X to the domain of Y, then we have

$$M(X(\phi,\theta) = Y(\tilde{M}(\phi,\theta)) \tag{7.5}$$

for (ϕ, θ) in the domain of X.

(c) Coefficients of the first fundamental form of \overline{X}

By Equation 7.5 we have

$$\overline{X}(\phi,\theta) = M(X(\phi,\theta) = Y(\tilde{M}(\phi,\theta)) = Y(\phi, z(\theta)) = (\cos\phi, \sin\phi, z(\theta))$$

which results in

$$\begin{split} \overline{X}_{\phi} &= (-\sin\phi, \cos\phi, 0) \\ \overline{X}_{\theta} &= (0, 0, z'(\theta)) \\ \overline{E} &= \langle \overline{X}_{\phi}, \overline{X}_{\phi} \rangle = \sin^2\phi + \cos^2\phi = 1 \\ \overline{F} &= \langle \overline{X}_{\phi}, \overline{X}_{\theta} \rangle = 0 \\ \overline{G} &= \langle \overline{X}_{\theta}, \overline{X}_{\theta} \rangle = (z'(\theta))^2 \end{split}$$

(d)

In order to have M be a conformal map, we must satisfy

$$\overline{E} = \lambda^2 E$$

$$1 = \lambda^2 \cos^2 \theta$$

$$\overline{F} = \lambda^2 F$$

$$0 = 0$$

and

$$\overline{G} = \lambda^2 G$$
$$(z'(\theta))^2 = \lambda^2$$

indicating that $z'(\theta)$ must be $\sec \theta$.

(e)

From the result of the previous part of the problem we know that

$$z(\theta) = \int \sec \theta = \ln |\sec \theta + \tan \theta|$$

assuming z(0) = 0 and $z(\theta) > 0$ for $\theta \in (0, \pi/2)$.

8

Let S_1 and S_2 be regular surfaces with a conformal maps $\varphi: S_1 \to S_2$ and $\psi: S_2 \to S_3$.

(a) Inverses of conformal maps are conformal

Since S_1 and S_2 are diffeomorphic, then $T_pS_1 = T_{\varphi(p)}S_2$ and $d\varphi_p = d\varphi_{\varphi(p)}^{-1}$ for $p \in S_2$. Thus for vectors $v_1, v_2 \in T_pS_2 = T_{\varphi^{-1}(p)}S_1$, the vectors $d\varphi_p^{-1}(v_1)$ and $d\varphi_p^{-1}(v_2)$ are vectors in $T_p(S_1)$. So we have

$$\left\langle d\varphi_{\varphi^{-1}(p)}(d\varphi_p^{-1}(v_1)), d\varphi_{\varphi^{-1}(p)}(d\varphi_p^{-1}(v_2)) \right\rangle = \lambda^2(p) \left\langle d\varphi_p^{-1}(v_1), d\varphi_p^{-1}(v_2) \right\rangle$$

which in turn implies

$$\left\langle d(\varphi \circ \varphi^{-1})_p(v_1), d(\varphi \circ \varphi^{-1})_p(v_2) \right\rangle = \lambda^2(p) \left\langle d\varphi_p^{-1}(v_1), d\varphi_p^{-1}(v_2) \right\rangle$$

however, $\varphi \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is the identity map implying that the above equation simplifies to

$$\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle = \lambda^2(p) \left\langle d\varphi_p^{-1}(v_1), d\varphi_p^{-1}(v_2) \right\rangle$$

which gives us what we're looking for

$$\left\langle d\varphi_p^{-1}(v_1), d\varphi_p^{-1}(v_2) \right\rangle = \frac{1}{\lambda^2(p)} \left\langle v_1, v_2 \right\rangle$$

(b) Composition of conformal maps is conformal

Let $p \in S_1$ and $v_1, v_2 \in T_pS$. Then we have the following

$$\begin{aligned} \langle d(\psi \circ \varphi)_p(v_1), d(\psi \circ \varphi)_p(v_2) \rangle &= \langle d\psi_{\varphi(p)}(d\varphi_p(v_1)), d\psi_{\varphi(p)}(d\varphi_p(v_1)) \rangle \\ &= \lambda_{\psi}^2(\varphi(p)) \langle d\varphi_p(v_1), d\varphi_p(v_1) \rangle \\ &= \lambda_{\psi}^2(\varphi(p)) \lambda_{\varphi}^2(p) \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

so for $\lambda(p) = \lambda_{\psi}(\varphi(p))\lambda_{\varphi}(p)$ we have

$$\langle d(\psi \circ \varphi)_p(v_1), d(\psi \circ \varphi)_p(v_2) \rangle = \lambda^2(p) \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$$

giving us the fact that $\varphi \circ \psi$ is conformal since it is a diffeomorphism.

Appendix

A Helpful Lemmas

Lemma A.1 The trace of the inverse of a two-dimensional matrix A is

$$\operatorname{tr}(A^{-1}) = \frac{\operatorname{tr}(A)}{\det(A)}$$

Proof. Let A be the matrix denoted by $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ then

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}$$

which in turn leads to

$$\operatorname{tr}(A^{-1}) = \operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{1}{\det(A)} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}\right) = \frac{1}{\det(A)} \operatorname{tr}\begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} = \frac{d+a}{\det(A)} = \frac{\operatorname{tr}(A)}{\det(A)}$$

- 11	_	_	۰.
			L

(c)