

# Math 501: Differential Geometry

## Homework 9

Lawrence Tyler Rush  
<me@tylerlogic.com>

---

April 26, 2013

<http://coursework.tylerlogic.com/courses/math501/homework09>

# 1

---

Because the parallel transport operation is a linear operation, then we can look at what happens to the two elements of the basis  $\{(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0)\}$  of the tangent space at the north pole. Because these two vectors span, it will inform us how arbitrary vectors in the space are changed by the parallel transport operation.

Let  $\gamma_1$ ,  $\gamma_2$ , and  $\gamma_3$  be the three piece-wise curves that make up  $\gamma$ . Because each of the piece-wise curves are geodesics, then their derivative functions are parallel. Hence we have that the vector  $(1, 0, 0)$  will be transported to  $(0, 0, -1)$  by  $\gamma_1$ , transported from there to  $(0, 0, -1)$  by  $\gamma_2$ , and then transported to  $(0, 1, 0)$  by  $\gamma_3$ , returning to the north pole. Similarly  $(0, 1, 0)$  is transported to  $(0, 1, 0)$  by  $\gamma_1$ , then to  $(-1, 0, 0)$  by  $\gamma_2$ , and finally to  $(-1, 0, 0)$  by  $\gamma_3$ . Thus the overall transport of  $(1, 0, 0)$  and  $(0, 1, 0)$  along  $\gamma$  is to  $(0, 1, 0)$  and  $(-1, 0, 0)$ , respectively. Since these two elements are a basis, the parallel transport is simply a rotation of the whole space by  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  radians.

# 2

---

(a)

---

Since  $S$  is a compact and orientable surface, its Euler characteristic,  $\chi(S)$ , is  $-2n + 2$  for some natural number  $n$ . Thus if  $K > 0$ , the total curvature is positive, which in light of the Gauss-Bonnet theorem for compact and orientable surfaces,  $\int_S K dA = 2\pi\chi(S)$ , implies that  $\chi(S)$  needs also to be positive, i.e. it is 2. Hence the compact orientable surface  $S$  is homeomorphic to a sphere, since it too is a compact orientable surface of Euler characteristic 2.

(b)

---

Let  $S$  be a compact orientable surface that is not homeomorphic to the sphere. Then its Euler characteristic is one of  $0, -2, -4, \dots$ , which, by the Gauss-Bonnet theorem, implies that its total curvature is  $0, -4\pi, -8\pi, \dots$ .

Because  $S$  is compact, we know from the first problem of homework six that a plane brought in from far enough away until it first touches  $S$ , at some  $p$ , will be tangent to  $S$ . Thus there will be some neighborhood of  $p$  for which  $S$  will lie entirely on one side of the tangent plane, and because of that fact the curvature at  $p$  will be positive. The main point being that  $S$  has a point with positive curvature.

Finally, because there is a point of  $S$  with positive curvature and because its total curvature is zero or negative, then  $S$  must also contain a point of negative curvature. Furthermore, because the Gaussian curvature of a surface is continuous, its containing points of positive and negative curvature implies that it must also contain a point of zero curvature.

# 3

---

By the Gauss-Bonnet theorem, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{C_i} k_g(s) ds + \int_R K dA + (\alpha + \beta + \gamma) = 2\pi\chi(R)$$

but since the sides of  $R$  are geodesics, then each side has zero geodesic curvature. Also, because  $R$  is a subset of a sphere,  $\chi(R) = 2$  and  $K = 1$ . This leaves us with

$$\int_R dA + \alpha + \beta + \gamma = 4\pi$$

from Gauss-Bonnet theorem. Hence  $4\pi - (\alpha + \beta + \gamma)$  yields the area of  $R$ .

## 4 Total Curvature

---

(a)

---

From our midterm, we found isothermal coordinates for the catenoid rotated about the z-axis such that  $E = G = a^2 \cosh^2 t$ . For our current catenoid,  $a = 1$ . Thus  $E = G = \cosh^2 t$ . From our eighth homework we developed a formula for Gaussian curvature for isothermal coordinates

$$K = \frac{-1}{2\lambda} \Delta(\log \lambda)$$

where  $E = G = \lambda$ , which in our case boils down to

$$K = \frac{-1}{\cosh^4 t}$$

This results in the following total curvature.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S K dA &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{-1}{\cosh^4 t} \sqrt{EG - F^2} dt d\theta \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} -\frac{1}{\cosh^4 t} \sqrt{\cosh^4 t} dt d\theta \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} -\frac{1}{\cosh^2 t} dt d\theta \\ &= -\int_0^{2\pi} \tanh t \Big|_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\theta \\ &= -\int_0^{2\pi} (1 - (-1)) d\theta \\ &= -\int_0^{2\pi} 2 d\theta \\ &= -4\pi \end{aligned}$$

(b)

---

Minimal surfaces have zero mean curvature at each point. This implies that at any point

$$0 = 4H^2 = 4 \left( \frac{k_1 + k_2}{2} \right)^2 = 4 \left( \frac{k_1^2 + 2k_1k_2 + k_2^2}{4} \right) = (k_1^2 + k_2^2) + 2K$$

where  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are the principle curvatures at a point in  $S$ . Hence

$$\int_S (k_1^2 + k_2^2) dA = -2 \int_S K dA$$

or in other words the total curvature is finite whenever  $\int_S (k_1^2 + k_2^2) dA$  is finite, and vice versa.

## 5

---

(a)

---

Let  $S$  be a surface homeomorphic to a sphere. From the second midterm we know that  $H^2 \geq K$ . Combining this with the Gauss-Bonnet theorem, we get the following

$$\int_S H^2 dA \geq \int_S K dA = 2\pi\chi(S)$$

which implies that  $\int_S H^2 dA \geq 4\pi$ , since the Euler characteristic of topological spheres, like  $S$ , is 2.

(b)

---

Let  $S$  be the sphere. Since the principle curvatures at a given point of the sphere are the same, then the sphere is an umbilical surface, i.e.  $k_1 = k_2$  at each point. This indicates that  $k_2^2 = k_1^2 = K$ . Thus, using the Gauss-Bonnet theorem for compact orientable surfaces like the sphere  $S$ , we get the following

$$\int_S H^2 dA = \frac{1}{4} \int_S k_1^2 + 2k_1 k_2 + k_2^2 dA = \frac{1}{4} \int_S K + 2K + K dA = \int_S K dA = 2\pi\chi(S)$$

which, for our case of the sphere, means

$$\int_S H^2 dA = 4\pi$$

since  $\chi(S) = 2$  for  $S$ .

Conversely, suppose that the Willmore energy of  $S$  is equal to  $4\pi$ . Since  $S$  is a topological sphere, then  $\chi(S) = 2$ , which implies, by the Gauss-Bonnet theorem, that  $\int_S K dA = 2\pi\chi(S) = 4\pi$ . In other words

$$\int_S H^2 dA = \int_S K dA$$

Thus integrating over both sides of A.1, leaves us with

$$\int_S H^2 dA = \int_S \left( \frac{k_1 - k_2}{2} \right)^2 dA + \int_S K dA$$

implying that  $\int_S \left( \frac{k_1 - k_2}{2} \right)^2 dA = 0$ . However  $\left( \frac{k_1 - k_2}{2} \right)^2$  is always non-negative, so because it's integral is zero then it must be zero. This gives us the equality of the principle curvatures  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ . Hence  $S$  must be a round sphere as a round sphere is the only topological sphere which is also umbilic.

## 6

---

Let  $S$  be a closed surface with some bounded subset  $B$  such that  $S \setminus B$  is contained within a plain. Also suppose that  $K \geq 0$  for all of  $S$ . Since  $B$  is bounded, we can “entriangle” it with a triangle contained in the same plane in which  $S \setminus B$  lies. Now define  $R$  to be the region of  $S$  containing this triangle and all of its interior (not to mention  $B$ ). Because the boundary of  $R$  is a triangle that lies in a plane, then its edges are geodesics, and the sum of its interior angles is  $\pi$ . This implies that the sum of the geodesic curvature of its edges is zero and the sum of its exterior angles is  $2\pi$ . Thus the Gauss-Bonnet theorem informs us that

$$2\pi\chi(R) = \sum_{i=1}^3 k_g(\gamma_i) + \int_R K dA + \sum_{i=1}^3 \theta_i = \int_R K dA + 2\pi \tag{6.1}$$

where  $\gamma_i$  are the edges of  $R$ .

Now because  $R$  is bounded and closed (it contains the edges of the triangle), then it is compact due to Heine-Borel. Thus in light of the second hint in this problem's statement  $R$  must have an Euler characteristic less than or equal to 1, boiling down Equation 6.1 to  $\int_R K dA + 2\pi \leq 2\pi$  or in other words

$$\int_R K dA \leq 0$$

However, because  $K \geq 0$ , then the above integral can never be negative and must be zero, but this in turn implies that  $K = 0$  since  $K$  was assumed to be non-negative.

## A Extra Lemmas

**Lemma A.1** *For any principles curvatures  $k_1, k_2$  at some point of a regular surface, we that*

$$H^2 = \left( \frac{k_1 - k_2}{2} \right)^2 + K$$

*Proof.* The result comes from the following sequence of equations.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4}k_1^2 + k_1k_2 + \frac{1}{4}k_2^2 &= \frac{1}{4}k_1^2 + \frac{1}{4}k_2^2 + k_1k_2 \\ \frac{1}{4}k_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}k_1k_2 + \frac{1}{4}k_2^2 &= \frac{1}{4}k_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}k_1k_2 + \frac{1}{4}k_2^2 + K \\ \frac{1}{4}(k_1 + k_2)^2 &= \frac{1}{4}(k_1 - k_2)^2 + K \\ \left( \frac{k_1 + k_2}{2} \right)^2 &= \left( \frac{k_1 - k_2}{2} \right)^2 + K \\ H^2 &= \left( \frac{k_1 - k_2}{2} \right)^2 + K \end{aligned}$$

□