Math 502: Abstract Algebra Homework 12

Lawrence Tyler Rush <me@tylerlogic.com>

In Collaboration With

Keaton Naff

Let G be a finite group and $\mathbb{C}(G)$ be the set of all \mathbb{C} -valued functions on G. Define an inner-product on the \mathbb{C} -vector space by

$$(f_1|f_2) := \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{x \in G} f_1(x) \cdot \overline{f_2(x)}$$

(a)

For each $g \in G$, let $U_g \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(C(G))$ be defined by $f \mapsto (x \mapsto f(xg))$. With this definition, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(U_g(f_1) | U_g(f_2) \right) &= \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{x \in G} U_g(f_1)(x) \cdot \overline{U_g(f_2)(x)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{x \in G} f_1(xg) \cdot \overline{f_2(xg)} \end{aligned}$$

but because right translation in G is a bijection, we can alter the index on G to be

$$\begin{aligned} \left(U_g(f_1) | U_g(f_2) \right) &= \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{y \in G} f_1(y) \cdot \overline{f_2(y)} \\ &= (f_1 | f_2) \end{aligned}$$

and hence U_g is a unitary operator for each $g \in G$.

(b)

For each $g \in G$, let $U_g \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(C(G))$ be defined by $f \mapsto (x \mapsto f(xg))$. With this definition, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(U_g(f_1) | f_2 \right) &= \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{x \in G} U_g(f_1)(x) \cdot f_2(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{x \in G} f_1(xg) \cdot f_2(x) \end{aligned}$$

however in the above equation, we can substitute y for xg to get

$$\left(U_g(f_1)|f_2\right) = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{y \in G} f_1(y) \cdot f_2(yg^{-1})$$

which indicates that $U_{g^{-1}}$ will be the hermitian conjugate of U_g .

(c)

$\mathbf{2}$

Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over a field F which is either \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{R} with S a linear operator on V.

(a) Prove S being skew-hermitian implies exp(S) is unitary

Assume that S is skew-hermitian. Let $\lambda \in F$ be an eigenvalue of S with corresponding eigenvector v. Then we have that

$$\exp(S)(v) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{S^k}{k!}(v) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!}(v) = e^{\lambda}(v)$$

with the rightmost equality coming from the Taylor series expansion of the exponential function. So we see that e^{λ} is an eigenvalue for $\exp(S)$. Now because S was assumed skew-hermitian, then $\lambda \in \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}$, implying that $\lambda = ai$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$. So e^{ai} is an eigenvalue of $\exp(S)$, but since it has magnitude of 1, then $\exp(S)$ must be unitary.

Counter-example: Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} i \\ \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad B = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

then both A and B are period matrices since

$$A^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ \end{pmatrix} A^{3} = \begin{pmatrix} -i \\ \end{pmatrix} A^{4} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \end{pmatrix} A^{5} = \begin{pmatrix} i \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$B^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} B^{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} B^{4} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} B^{5} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore since $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!}$ converges (to *e*, although it's immaterial), then $\exp(A) = I + a_1A^1 + a_2A^2 + a_3A^3 + a_4A^4$ and $\exp(B) = b_1B^1 + b_2B^2 + b_3B^3 + b_4B^4$ where each $a_i, b_i \in F$. In other words, $\exp(A) \cdot \exp(B)$ is a finite linear combination of 16 matrices.

Now I'd like to show that $\exp(A + B)$ is not a finite linear combination of matrices, but I am unsure how to do so.

(c) Extra Credit

3 Extra Credit

4

(a)

If we let $T \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}])$ be multiplication by $b_0[0] + b_1[1] + \cdots + b_{n-1}[n-1]$, then we have that

$$T([0]) = b_0[0] + b_1[1] + \dots + b_{n-1}[n-1]$$

$$T([1]) = b_{n-1}[0] + b_0[1] + b_1[2] + \dots + b_{n-2}[n-1]$$

$$\vdots$$

$$T([n-1]) = b_1[0] + b_2[1] + \dots + b_0[n-1]$$

which implies that the matrix representation of T in the basis $\{[0], [1], \ldots, [n-1]\}$ of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}]$ is A_n .

(c) Extra Credit

(d) Extra Credit