### Math 508: Advanced Analysis Homework 7

Lawrence Tyler Rush <me@tylerlogic.com>

November 1, 2014 http://coursework.tylerlogic.com/courses/upenn/math508/homework07

# 1 Prove that smooth $f : [a, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ with bound first derivative is uniformly continuous.

Let  $f : [a, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  be smooth with M bound the first derivative, i.e.  $|f'(x)| \leq M$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and set  $\delta = \varepsilon/M$ . Then for any  $x, y \in [a, \infty)$ , assuming without loss of generality that x < y, the mean value theorem informs us of a  $c \in (x, y)$  such that

$$f(y) - f(x) = (y - x)f'(c)$$

Hence if  $|y - x| < \delta$ , we have

$$|f(y) - f(x)| = |(y - x)||f'(c)| \le |(y - x)|M < \delta M = \varepsilon$$

so that f is uniformly continuous.

### $\mathbf{2}$

#### (a) Show that $\sin x$ is not a polynomial.

The function  $\sin x$  is zero at  $2\pi n$  for all integers n, i.e. it has infinitely many zeros. Polynomials have a finite amount of zeros, and so  $\sin x$  cannot be a polynomial.

#### (b) Show that $\sin x$ cannot be a rational function.

A rational function p(x)/q(x) is zero if and only if p(x) is zero. Therefore a rational function is zero at only finitely many points, and just as we saw in the previous part of the problem, this implies sin x cannot be a rational function.

### (c) If f(t+1) = f(t) for all real t, and f is not constant, show that f is not a rational function.

By way of contradiction, assume that f is a rational polynomial so that f(t) = p(t)/q(t). Fixing  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and by putting  $g(t) = f(t) - f(t_0)$  we have

1. 
$$g(t+1) = f(t+1) - f(t_0) = f(t) + f(t_0) = g(t)$$
 so that g is periodic

2. 
$$g(t) = p(t)/q(t) - f(t_0) = \frac{p(t) - f(t_0)q(t)}{q(t)}$$
 so that g is rational, and

3.  $g(t_0) = f(t_0) - f(t_0) = 0$  so that g has a zero at  $t_0$ .

Putting the above three things together informs us that g is a rational function with infinitely many zeros, but rational functions can only have a finite number of zeros; a contradiction.

### (d) Show that $e^x$ is not a rational function.

A rational function f(x) has that

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} f(x) = \pm \lim_{x \to -\infty} f(x)$$

however

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} e^x = \infty \qquad \text{and} \qquad \lim_{x \to -\infty} e^x = 0$$

Define  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  by  $f(x) = x^{\frac{1}{7}}$ . Since this is a polynomial, it's smooth on  $\mathbb{R}$ . For an integer n, the mean value theorem tells us that there is an  $x \in (n, n+1)$  such that

$$(n+1)^{\frac{1}{7}} - n^{\frac{1}{7}} = (n+1-n)f'(x) = f'(x)$$

which in turn yields

$$(n+1)^{\frac{1}{7}} - n^{\frac{1}{7}} = \frac{1}{7} \left(\frac{1}{x^6}\right)^{\frac{1}{7}}$$

Hence

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (n+1)^{\frac{1}{7}} - n^{\frac{1}{7}} = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{7} \left(\frac{1}{x^6}\right)^{\frac{1}{7}} = 0$$

as desired.

4

Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be smooth with f(0) = 3, f(1) = 2, and f(3) = 8. The Mean Value Theorem yields the existence of  $c_1 \in (0, 1)$  and  $c_2 \in (1, 3)$  such that

$$(1-0)f'(c_1) = f(1) - f(0)$$
  

$$f'(c_1) = 2 - 3$$
  

$$f'(c_1) = -1$$

and

$$(3-1)f'(c_2) = f(3) - f(1)$$
  

$$2f'(c_2) = 8 - 2$$
  

$$2f'(c_2) = 6$$
  

$$f'(c_2) = 3$$

Because f is smooth, f' is continous since f'' is differentiable, and thus we can appeal to the Mean Value Theorem again to obtain a  $c \in (c_1, c_2)$  such that

$$(c_2 - c_1)f''(c) = f'(c_2) - f'(c_1)$$
  

$$(c_2 - c_1)f''(c) = 3 - (-1)$$
  

$$f''(c) = \frac{4}{c_2 - c_1}$$

Since  $c_2 > c_1 > 0$  this implies f''(c) > 0, as desired. Furthermore, because, more precisely,  $3 > c_2 > 1 > c_1 > 0$  we have that  $3 > c_2 - c_1$  so that  $f''(c) > \frac{4}{3}$  according to the above equation. So let  $M = \frac{4}{3}$ .

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

By "a convex function f" we mean one for which every point of the graph of f lies above all of its tangent points; i.e. one for which

 $f'(x)(y-x) + f(x) \le f(y)$ 

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Assume that the second derivative of f is non-negative for any point of  $\mathbb{R}$ . For any reals x, y with x < y the Mean Value Theorem (MVT) gives us a  $z \in (x, y)$  such that

$$\frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} = f'(z) \tag{5.1}$$

Since f is smooth, f' is differentiable on [x, z], and so the MVT gives us a  $w \in (x, z)$  such that

$$\frac{f'(z) - f'(x)}{z - x} = f''(w)$$

Since the second derivative is non-negative, then so is the left hand side of the above equation. Since z > x this implies  $f'(z) \ge f'(x)$  which in light of Equation 5.1 implies  $\frac{f(y)-f(x)}{y-x} \ge f'(x)$ . This yields

$$f'(x)(y-x) + f(x) \le f(y)$$

as desired for the convexity of f.

(b) Prove that  $v(x) \leq 0$  for all  $0 \leq x \leq 1$  if v''(x) > 0 for  $0 \leq x \leq 1$  and v(0) = v(1) = 0

Assume for later contradiction that there is a point  $x \in (0,1)$  with v(x) > 0. Then there exists a  $c_1 \in (0,x)$  with

$$\frac{v(x) - v(0)}{x - 0} = v'(c_1)$$

by the MVT so that  $\frac{v(x)}{x} = v'(c_1)$  which implies  $v'(c_1) > 0$  since v(x) > 0. Furthermore there exists an  $c_2 \in (x, 1)$  where

$$\frac{v(1) - v(x)}{1 - x} = v'(c_2)$$

so that  $\frac{-v(x)}{1-x} = v'(c_2)$ . Since x < 1 and v(x) > 0, then  $v'(c_2) < 0$ . Once more, the MVT tells us there exists a  $c \in (c_1, c_2)$  such that

$$\frac{v'(c_2) - v'(c_1)}{c_2 - c_1} = v''(c)$$

but since we've seen that  $v'(c_1) > 0$ ,  $v'(c_2) < 0$ , and because  $c_2 > c_1$ , the above equation yields  $v''(c) \le 0$ . This contradicts the fact that v''(x) for  $0 \le x \le 1$ . Hence there is no point  $x \in (0,1)$  with v(x) > 0, and therefore  $v(x) \le 0$  for all  $x \in [0,1]$ .

### (c) Prove that $e^x$ is convex.

The second derivative of  $e^x$  is  $e^x$ , which is always positive. By the first part of this problem we know that  $e^x$  is convex.

### (d) Prove that $e^x \ge 1 + x$ for all x

Since the previous part of this problem showed  $e^x$  is convex, then for any x, y we have

$$e^x \ge \left(\frac{d}{dy}e^y\right)(x-y) + e^y = e^y(x-y) + e^y$$

Thus, letting y = 0, we get  $e^x \ge x + 1$  for all x.

## (a) What constraints are on c and d so that $p(x) = x^3 + cx + d$ has three distinct real roots?

If  $p(x) = x^3 + cx + d$  were to have three distinct real roots, then there would exist real  $x_1 < x_2$  where  $p(x_1) > 0$  is a local maximum and  $p(x_2) < 0$  is a local minimum. Since  $\lim_{x \to -\infty} p(x) = -\infty$  and  $\lim_{x \to \infty} p(x) = \infty$ , then we can find  $x_0, x_3 \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $x_0 < x_1, x_3 > x_2, p(x_0) < 0$ , and  $p(x_3) > 0$ . Thus the intermediate value theorem implies, since  $x_1 < x_2, p(x_1) > 0$ , and  $p(x_2) < 0$ , the existence of  $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{R}$  where  $x_0 < c_1 < x_1 < c_2 < x_2 < c_3 < x_3$  and  $p(c_1) = p(c_2) = p(c_3) = 0$ . Thus it is indeed possible for there to exist three distinct real roots.

We have that  $p'(x) = 3x^2 + c$  so that  $x = \pm \sqrt{\frac{-c}{3}}$  when p'(x) = 0. Hence in order for there to be three real roots, c must be less than zero. Since  $x = \pm \sqrt{\frac{-c}{3}}$  are the two local maximum and minimum, then  $p(\sqrt{\frac{-c}{3}}) < 0$  so that

$$p(\sqrt{\frac{-c}{3}}) < 0$$

$$\frac{-c}{3}\sqrt{\frac{-c}{3}} + c\sqrt{\frac{-c}{3}} + d < 0$$

$$d < \frac{c}{3}\sqrt{\frac{-c}{3}} - c\sqrt{\frac{-c}{3}}$$

$$d < c\sqrt{\frac{-c}{3}}\left(\frac{1}{3} - 1\right)$$

$$d < \frac{-2c}{3}\sqrt{\frac{-c}{3}}$$

At this point, since we have c < 0, then the right hand side of the above inequality is positive so that

$$d^2 < \left(\frac{-2c}{3}\sqrt{\frac{-c}{3}}\right)^2$$
$$d^2 < \frac{-4c^2}{9}\left(\frac{-c}{3}\right)$$
$$d^2 < \frac{4c^3}{27}$$

and this is the constraint on d.

(b) Generalize above to  $p(x) = ax^3 + bx^2 + cx + d$ 

- 7
- 8

(a)

(b)	 		
(c)			
(d)			