

# Math 502: Abstract Algebra

## Homework 4

Lawrence Tyler Rush  
<me@tylerlogic.com>

---

January 5, 2014

<http://coursework.tylerlogic.com/courses/upenn/math502/homework04>

---

(a) Prove that there exists a unique group homomorphism  $\text{sgn} : S_n \rightarrow \mu_2$

---

**Homomorphic** Let  $\sigma, \tau$  each be elements of  $S_n$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sgn}(\sigma\tau)f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= f_n(x_{(\sigma\tau)(1)}, \dots, x_{(\sigma\tau)(n)}) \\ &= f_n(x_{\sigma(\tau(1))}, \dots, x_{\sigma(\tau(n))}) \\ &= \text{sgn}(\sigma)f_n(x_{\tau(1)}, \dots, x_{\tau(n)}) \\ &= \text{sgn}(\sigma)\text{sgn}(\tau)f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

and because  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a field, we can cancel  $f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  on both sides leaving us with  $\text{sgn}(\sigma\tau) = \text{sgn}(\sigma)\text{sgn}(\tau)$ .

**Uniqueness** For later contradiction, let  $g : S_n \rightarrow \mu_2$  be another group homomorphism not equal to  $\text{sgn}$  that satisfies the same properties of the  $\text{sgn}$  function. Then for  $\sigma \in S_n$ , we have

$$f_n(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}) = g(\sigma)f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

but there must exist at least one  $\tau$  where  $\text{sgn}(\tau) \neq g(\tau)$ . So without loss of generality, let  $\text{sgn}(\tau) = 1$  and  $g(\tau) = -1$ . However, then we would have

$$f_n(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}) = \text{sgn}(\sigma)f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

and

$$f_n(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}) = g(\sigma)f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = -f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

which is not possible with our definition of  $f_n$ . Hence we've reached a contradiction, and thus  $g$  and  $\text{sgn}$  must be one in the same.

(b)

---

(c)

---

The following proof is derived from [DF04, pg. 109]. It's just so slick.

We will first prove that the transposition  $(1, 2)$  has negative sign and then move on to the general case. According to the definition of the  $\text{sgn}$  function we have that for  $\sigma = (1, 2)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}) &= \text{sgn}(\sigma)f_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \\ \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_{\sigma(i)} - x_{\sigma(j)}) &= \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i - x_j) \\ \prod_{2 \leq j \leq n} (x_{\sigma(1)} - x_{\sigma(j)}) \prod_{3 \leq j \leq n} (x_{\sigma(2)} - x_{\sigma(j)}) \prod_{3 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i - x_j) &= \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{2 \leq j \leq n} (x_1 - x_j) \prod_{3 \leq j \leq n} (x_2 - x_j) \\ &\quad \prod_{3 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i - x_j) \\ \prod_{2 \leq j \leq n} (x_{\sigma(1)} - x_{\sigma(j)}) \prod_{3 \leq j \leq n} (x_{\sigma(2)} - x_{\sigma(j)}) &= \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{2 \leq j \leq n} (x_1 - x_j) \prod_{3 \leq j \leq n} (x_2 - x_j) \\ (x_{\sigma(1)} - x_{\sigma(2)}) \prod_{3 \leq j \leq n} (x_{\sigma(1)} - x_{\sigma(j)}) \prod_{3 \leq j \leq n} (x_{\sigma(2)} - x_{\sigma(j)}) &= \text{sgn}(\sigma) (x_1 - x_2) \prod_{3 \leq j \leq n} (x_1 - x_j) \prod_{3 \leq j \leq n} (x_2 - x_j) \\ (x_2 - x_1) \prod_{3 \leq j \leq n} (x_2 - x_j) \prod_{3 \leq j \leq n} (x_1 - x_j) &= \text{sgn}(\sigma) (x_1 - x_2) \prod_{3 \leq j \leq n} (x_1 - x_j) \prod_{3 \leq j \leq n} (x_2 - x_j) \\ (x_2 - x_1) &= \text{sgn}(\sigma) (x_1 - x_2) \end{aligned}$$

which indicates that  $\text{sgn}((1\ 2)) = -1$ .

Now let  $(i, j)$  be an arbitrary transposition in  $S_n$ . Then we have that  $(i, j) = (1, i)(2, j)(1, 2)(1, i)(2, j)$ . This then yields the following

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sgn}((i, j)) &= \text{sgn}((1, i)(2, j)(1, 2)(1, i)(2, j)) \\ &= \text{sgn}((1, i)) \text{sgn}((2, j)) \text{sgn}((1, 2)) \text{sgn}((1, i)) \text{sgn}((2, j)) \\ &= \text{sgn}((1, i))^2 \text{sgn}((2, j))^2 \text{sgn}((1, 2)) \\ &= -1 \end{aligned}$$

where we make use of the fact that  $\text{sgn}$  is a homomorphism and the commutativity of  $\mu_2$ .

(d)

---

We can see that a cycle of length  $m$  has that  $(1, 2, \dots, m) = (1, 2)(2, 3) \cdots (m-1, m)$ . Therefore, using the fact that the  $\text{sgn}$  function is a homomorphism and that transpositions have sign of  $-1$ , we obtain

$$\text{sgn}((1, 2, \dots, m)) = \text{sgn}((1, 2)(2, 3) \cdots (m-1, m)) = \text{sgn}((1, 2)) \text{sgn}((2, 3)) \cdots \text{sgn}((m-1, m)) = (-1)^{m-1}$$

(e)

---

(f) **Extra Credit**

---

(g) **Extra Credit**

---

## 2

---

For a matrix  $A \in GL_3(\mathbb{R})$ , left  $L_A : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  denote the linear transformation of left multiplication by  $A$ .

(a)

---

First, we see that any vector  $v$  in  $C$  that is on the “surface” of  $C$ , i.e. any vector with at least one coordinate of 1, must have that for  $A \in G$ ,  $L_A(v)$  must also be on the surface of  $C$ . The reason being because if it weren't, then there would be some scalar  $\alpha > 1$  with  $L_A(\alpha v) \in C$ , but this can't happen as it contradicts  $A(C) = C$ .

Not the least important of the vectors on the surface of  $C$  are  $e_1, e_2, e_3$ . Since  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  each have a length of which is the shortest possible length of a vector on the surface of  $C$ , a length of one, then their images under  $L_A$  must have length of at least one since they must be on the surface. On the other hand, because  $e_1 + e_2 + e_3$  is also on the surface of  $C$  then an increase in any one of the sizes of  $e_1, e_2$  or  $e_3$  under  $L_A$  will result in  $L_A(e_1 + e_2 + e_3)$  being outside of  $C$ . Hence  $L_A$  will map each of  $e_1, e_2$  and  $e_3$  to one of  $\{\pm e_1, \pm e_2, \pm e_3\}$ . However, because  $A \in GL_3(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $L_A$  is an isomorphism and will map  $e_1, e_2$  and  $e_3$  to distinct elements and furthermore to a basis. Therefore  $L_A$  preserves the size and angles between  $e_1, e_2$  and  $e_3$ , which since those vectors are a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$  makes  $A$  orthogonal, and thus  $G \subset O_3$ .

Now  $I \in G$  since it preserves  $C$ . If  $A, B \in G$ , then  $A(C) = C$  and  $B(C) = C$ , implying that  $(BA)(C) = B(A(C)) = B(C) = C$  and therefore  $AB \in G$ . And finally, since  $A(C) = C$  then  $C = A^{-1}(C)$ , and thus  $A^{-1} \in G$ . These three things, combined with the fact  $G \subset O_3$  proven above makes  $G$  a subgroup of  $O_3$ .

(b)

---

Let  $A \in G$ . Since  $L_A$  maps  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  to a basis of elements of  $\{\pm e_1, \pm e_2, \pm e_3\}$  as per above, then  $L_A$  maps  $e_1$  to one of the 6 elements of  $\{\pm e_1, \pm e_2, \pm e_3\}$ , maps  $e_2$  to one of the four elements of  $\{\pm e_1, \pm e_2, \pm e_3\} - \{\pm L_A(e_1)\}$  and  $e_3$  to two of elements of  $\{\pm e_1, \pm e_2, \pm e_3\} - \{\pm L_A(e_1), \pm L_A(e_2)\}$ . Hence  $G$  has  $6(4)(2) = 48$  elements and consists of

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{ccc} a & & \\ & b & \\ & & c \end{array} \right) \middle| a, b, c \in \{1, -1\} \right\} \cup \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{ccc} a & & \\ & & b \\ & c & \end{array} \right) \middle| a, b, c \in \{1, -1\} \right\} \\ & \cup \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{ccc} & a & \\ b & & \\ & & c \end{array} \right) \middle| a, b, c \in \{1, -1\} \right\} \\ & \cup \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{ccc} & & a \\ & c & \\ & & b \end{array} \right) \middle| a, b, c \in \{1, -1\} \right\} \\ & \cup \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{ccc} & & a \\ b & & \\ & c & \end{array} \right) \middle| a, b, c \in \{1, -1\} \right\} \\ & \cup \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{ccc} & & a \\ & b & \\ c & & \end{array} \right) \middle| a, b, c \in \{1, -1\} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

(c)

---

We will abuse notation here and consider  $S_3$  and  $\text{Perm}(\{L_1, L_2, L_3\})$  to be one in the same. They are, after all, isomorphic.

**$\pi$  is surjective** Let  $\sigma \in S_3$  and define  $A$  to be the matrix with  $i^{\text{th}}$  column  $e_{\sigma(i)}$ . Therefore according to the previous part of this problem,  $A \in G$ . Thus  $Ae_i = e_{\sigma(i)}$  which implies that  $A(L_i) = L_{\sigma(i)}$  and therefore  $\pi(A) = \sigma$ .

**$\text{Ker}(\pi)$**  The kernel of the  $\pi$  will be the set of all matrices  $A$  such that  $A(L_i) = L_i$ . Therefore  $A$  will need to be such that  $Ae_i = \pm e_i$ . Hence

$$\text{Ker}(\pi) = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{ccc} a & & \\ & b & \\ & & c \end{array} \right) \middle| a, b, c \in \{-1, 1\} \right\}$$

(d)

---

Denote the set of diagonals of the problem's statement by  $D = \{d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4\}$ . We will again abuse notation here and consider  $S_4$  and  $\text{Perm}(\{d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4\})$  to be one in the same.

We will use the following for vectors to help in our discussion here.

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= e_1 + e_2 + e_3 \\ v_2 &= -e_1 + e_2 + e_3 \\ v_3 &= e_1 - e_2 + e_3 \\ v_4 &= -e_1 - e_2 + e_3 \end{aligned}$$

These vectors are the vectors contained in the diagonals of  $D$  where  $e_3$  is always positive. With these vectors, we

have a basis  $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, v_3, v_4\}$  and can write the standard basis  $\mathcal{E} = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  as

$$e_1 = \frac{1}{2}(v_3 - v_4) \tag{2.1}$$

$$e_2 = \frac{1}{2}(v_1 - v_3) \tag{2.2}$$

$$e_3 = \frac{1}{2}(v_1 + v_4) \tag{2.3}$$

which gives rise to

$$[\text{id}]_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{E}} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & \\ -1 & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.4}$$

$$[\text{id}]_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.5}$$

where  $[\text{id}]_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{E}}$  and  $[\text{id}]_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{B}}$  are, respectively, the change of basis matrix from  $\mathcal{E}$  to  $\mathcal{B}$  and from  $\mathcal{B}$  to  $\mathcal{E}$  (yes it looks backwards).

**$\phi$  is surjective** Let  $\sigma \in S_4$ . We then aim to find a matrix  $A \in G$  such that  $Av_i = v_{\sigma(i)}$ . So let's define a linear transformation  $T : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  by its transformation of the basis  $\mathcal{B}$ :  $T(v_i) = v_{\sigma(i)}$ . By equations 2.1 through 2.3 we have that

$$T(e_1) = \frac{1}{2}(v_{\sigma(3)} - v_{\sigma(4)})$$

$$T(e_2) = \frac{1}{2}(v_{\sigma(1)} - v_{\sigma(3)})$$

$$T(e_3) = \frac{1}{2}(v_{\sigma(1)} + v_{\sigma(4)})$$

leaving us with the following matrix representation of  $T$  in the standard basis

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} v_{\sigma(3)} - v_{\sigma(4)} & v_{\sigma(1)} - v_{\sigma(3)} & v_{\sigma(1)} + v_{\sigma(4)} \end{pmatrix}$$

The definition of  $T$  informs us that  $A \in G$  and that  $A(d_i) = d_{\sigma(i)}$ , thus implying that  $\phi(A) = \sigma$ .

**Ker( $\phi$ )** We know that every element of the kernel will have that  $d_i = d_i$ , which implies that  $e_1 + e_2 + e_3$ , being contained in a diagonal, will need to be mapped to itself or  $-e_1 - e_2 - e_3$ . Hence

$$\text{Ker}(\phi) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

(e)

**Is  $\det |_{\mathcal{G}} = \text{sgn} \circ \pi$**  This is not true. According to part (c) of this problem,  $A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is in the kernel of  $\pi$ , meaning that  $\text{sgn} \circ \pi(A) = 1$ . However,  $A$  has a determinant of  $-1$ .

**Is  $\text{sgn} \circ \pi = \text{sgn} \circ \phi$**

**Is  $\det |_{\mathcal{G}} = \text{sgn} \circ \phi$**  This is not true. According to part (d) of this problem,  $A = \begin{pmatrix} - & - & 1 \\ & -1 & \\ & & -1 \end{pmatrix}$  is contained in the kernel of  $\phi$ , meaning that  $\text{sgn}(\phi(A)) = 1$ . However,  $A$  has a determinant of  $-1$ .

(f) Extra Credit

---

3

---

(a)

---

The dihedral group  $D_8$  is indeed isomorphic to a subgroup of  $G$ . Since  $G$  is the group of symmetries of a cube, then all elements which, say, keep  $e_1$  fixed will be symmetries of the unit square contained in the plane containing  $e_2$  and  $e_3$ . Call this square  $S$ . We can use this in our definition of an injective group homomorphism  $\phi : D_8 \rightarrow G$ .

We can think of  $\phi$  as the map that takes  $s$  (where  $D_8 = \langle s, r \rangle$ ) to the symmetry that reflects  $S$  across the plane containing  $e_1$  and  $e_3$ ; i.e. take  $s$  to the matrix representation of the linear transformation defined by  $e_1 \mapsto e_1$ ,  $e_2 \mapsto -e_2$ , and  $e_3 \mapsto e_3$ . Similarly  $\phi$  will take  $r$  to the matrix representation of rotation about  $e_3$  by  $\pi/2$ .

This high-level definition of  $\phi$  can be made concrete by the formula

$$\phi(s^\ell r^i) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}^\ell \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & -1 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}^i$$

for all  $s^\ell r^i \in D_8$ . By noticing that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & -1 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & & 1 \\ & -1 & \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & -1 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & & 1 \\ & -1 & \end{pmatrix}$$

we can see that the following implies that  $\phi$  is a group homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(s^\ell r^i) \phi(s^k r^j) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}^\ell \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & -1 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}^i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}^k \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & -1 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}^j \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{\ell+k} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & -1 & 1 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}^i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & -1 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}^j \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{\ell+k} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & -1 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-i} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & -1 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}^j \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{\ell+k} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & -1 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{j-i} \\ &= \phi(s^{\ell+k} r^{j-i}) \\ &= \phi(s^\ell s^k r^{-i} r^j) \\ &= \phi(s^\ell r^i s^k r^j) \end{aligned}$$

Now assume that some element  $s^\ell r^i$  is mapped by  $\phi$  to the identity of  $G$ . Then we would have that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(s^\ell r^i) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}^\ell \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & -1 \end{pmatrix}^i &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & -1 \end{pmatrix}^i &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}^\ell \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\ell = i = 0$  since

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & -1 \end{pmatrix}^2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & -1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & -1 \end{pmatrix}^3 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & -1 \end{pmatrix}^4 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

shows that the order of  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is four. Hence the kernel is trivial, implying that  $\phi$  is injective.

The fact that  $\phi : D_8 \rightarrow G$  is an injective group homomorphism implies that  $D_8$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $G$ , namely  $\phi(D_8)$ .

## (b) Extra Credit

---

### 4

---

#### (a) Show that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V) = 2$ and $\{1, j\}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$ -basis of $V$

---

Let  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then since

$$1(a + bi) + j(c - di) = a + bi + cj - dji = a + bi + cj + dk$$

we see that  $\{1, j\}$  spans  $V$ .

Not if we were to have  $1(a + bi) + j(c + di) = 0$ , then  $a + bi + cj - dk = 0$  which implies that  $a = b = c = d = 0$ . Hence  $1$  and  $j$  are linearly independent.

With these two results,  $\{1, j\}$  is a basis for  $V$ , and thus  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V) = 2$ .

#### (b) Show for $x \in \mathbb{H}$ that “left multiplication by $x$ ” is an endomorphism on $V$ .

---

By the following, it can be seen that “left multiplication by  $x$ ” is an endomorphism, where  $v, w \in V$ . The distributive law on  $\mathbb{H}$  is what gives us that  $\alpha(x)$  is an endomorphism, i.e.

$$\alpha(x)(v + w) = x(v + w) = xv + xw = \alpha(x)v + \alpha(x)w$$

(c) Show that  $\alpha : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$  is a ring homomorphism.

---

By the following two equations, we have that  $\alpha$  is a ring homomorphism

$$\alpha(x + y)(v) = (x + y)(v) = xv + yv = \alpha(x)(v) + \alpha(y)(v) = (\alpha(x) + \alpha(y))(v)$$

$$\alpha(xy)(v) = (xy)(v) = x(y(v)) = \alpha(x)(\alpha(y)(v)) = (\alpha(x) \circ \alpha(y))(v)$$

(d) Prove that  $\alpha$  is injective

---

Let  $x \in H$  be such that  $\alpha(x) = \text{id}_V$ . Then for all  $v \in V$   $xv = \alpha(x)(v) = \text{id}_V(v) = v$ , but the only element of  $\mathbb{H}$  with this property is the multiplicative identity, so  $x$  must be the identity. Hence the kernel of  $\alpha$  is trivial and thus  $\alpha$  is injective.

(e) Write down the matrix representation of  $\alpha(i)$ ,  $\alpha(j)$ , and  $\alpha(k)$  with respect to the basis  $\{1, j\}$

---

Set  $\mathcal{B}$  to the basis  $\{1, j\}$ . We will use the notation  $[\cdot]_{\mathcal{B}}$  to denote “representation in the basis  $\mathcal{B}$ ”. With that said

$$[\alpha(i)]_{\mathcal{B}} = ( [\alpha(i)(1)]_{\mathcal{B}} \quad [\alpha(i)(j)]_{\mathcal{B}} ) = ( [i]_{\mathcal{B}} \quad [ij]_{\mathcal{B}} ) = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}$$

$$[\alpha(j)]_{\mathcal{B}} = ( [\alpha(j)(1)]_{\mathcal{B}} \quad [\alpha(j)(j)]_{\mathcal{B}} ) = ( [j]_{\mathcal{B}} \quad [j^2]_{\mathcal{B}} ) = ( [j]_{\mathcal{B}} \quad [-1]_{\mathcal{B}} ) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$[\alpha(k)]_{\mathcal{B}} = ( [\alpha(k)(1)]_{\mathcal{B}} \quad [\alpha(k)(j)]_{\mathcal{B}} ) = ( [k]_{\mathcal{B}} \quad [kj]_{\mathcal{B}} ) = ( [k]_{\mathcal{B}} \quad [-i]_{\mathcal{B}} ) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(f)

---

Because  $\mathbb{H}$  is a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space of dimension four ( $\{1, i, j, k\}$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -basis) and because  $\alpha$  is injective as per part (d) of this problem, then as a linear transformation,  $\alpha$  has a trivial kernel. Therefore the **rank+nullity** theorem informs us that the image of  $\alpha$  has dimension of four since  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{H}) = 4$ . However, because  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)) = 4$  as well, we must have  $\alpha(\mathbb{H}) = \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ .

## References

---

[DF04] D.S. Dummit and R.M. Foote. *Abstract Algebra*. John Wiley & Sons Canada, Limited, 2004.